Plagiarism Checker X Originality Report

Similarity Found: 20%

Elih Sutisna Yanto*1, Hikmah Pravitasari*2 THE REALIZATION OF INTERPERSONAL MEANING OF SPOKEN TEXTS IN AN EFL INTERNATIONAL TEXTBOOK: A SYSTEMIC FUNCTIONAL LINGUISTICS PERSPECTIVE 76 THE REALIZATION OF INTERPERSONAL MEANING OF SPOKEN TEXTS IN AN EFL INTERNATIONAL TEXTBOOK: A SYSTEMIC FUNCTIONAL LINGUISTICS PERSPECTIVE Elih Sutisna Yanto*1, Hikmah Pravitasari*2

elih.sutisna@fkip.unsika.ac.id*1, hikmah@latansamashiro.ac.id*2 English Education Department, Faculty of Teaching and Education*1, English Education Study Program*2 Universitas Singaperbangsa Karawang*1, STKIP La Tansa Mashiro, Banten*2 Received: Jan 9, 2023 Accepted: Jan 25, 2023 Published: March 11, 2023

ABSTRACT Interpersonal metafunction is an important concept when describing interactions in terms of responding to the act of giving or demanding goods, services, or information (Halliday and Mathiessen (2004).

This article examines interpersonal meanings realized in the mood and modality resources of an Interchange Series textbook — the fourth edition textbook used for Grade 3 or Intermediate students. The research u discourse analysis and Systemic Functional Linguistics (SFL) as the main theoretical framework, and the analysis used the mood element as a central resource for the realization of interpersonal meaning. The results show that among the 275 clauses in the 16 texts, declarative sentences dominate (146 or 53.09 percent). Interrogative clauses are much less common (64, or 23.27 percent). None of the texts, therefore, utilize any of the imperative clauses.

Furthermore, the number of modalization used in the sixteen texts are probability (26 or 9.45%), usuality (10 or 3.64%), and obligation (9 or 3.27%). This research suggests that forthcoming language textbooks may include examples of interpersonal meaning in terms of speech functions as resources for interaction. The implication is that language

teachers could explicitly and systematically teach students interpersonal grammar of exchange that deals with the semantics of speech functions and modality.

Teachers and students should be able to critically question textbooks as socio-semiotic agents since interpersonal meaning grammar helps people learn to deconstruct or interactively create spoken texts. Keywords: Declarative Clause, Imperative Clause, Interpersonal Meaning; Modalization, Systemic Functional Linguistics DOI: https://doi.org/10.31943/wej.v7i1.190 INTRODUCTION Textbooks in the field of teaching English (ELT) have long been recognized as important to English pedagogy.

In this case, tex function both as a source of knowledge that teachers rely on to plan and deliver lessons, and as a central source of language input for language learners other than their teachers (Hutchinson & Torres, 1994; Richard, 2001). Furthermore, Weninger (2018) claims that textbooks are one of the most common forms of learning materials used in language teaching. Today's textbooks, especially those published by global commercial publishers, mimic magazines in many ways: they have a glossy cover, are filled with pictures and other visuals elements, and often feature Wiralodra English Journal (WEJ) Vol 7 No 1 March 2023 77 current, popular cultural topics and genres such as movies, blogs, and travel. She also claims that the way a textbook is created is attractive not only to students but also to many teachers.

That is because most commercial textbooks now include a wide variety of audiovisual, online, and digital extras that are planned and introduced in a logical order. ELT textbook evaluation is of three types: pre-use, in-use, and post-use evaluations (Ellis, 1997; Tomlinson, 2003). In particular, the Pre-use Evaluation assesses the impacts of ELT textbooks before they are selected and used (Cunningsworth, 1995; Tomlinson, 2003).

In-class evaluation measures the impact of ELT textbooks used in the classroom (Ellis, 1997; Tomlinson, 2003). The Post-Use Evaluation measures the impact of ELT textbooks that have been used for a short or long time (Tomlinson, 2003). All three methods have their advantages, but also weaknesses. For example, before using an ELT textbook, readers can quickly get an opinion on its value. After using it, readers can get a deeper insight into its value. ELT textbooks should be used in a variety of contexts to help language learners improve their academic literacy.

Although these three types of ELT textbook evaluations emphasized the importance of contextual language use in an ELT textbook, they used criteria that were too broad or did not examine how well an ELT textbook helps students understand the relationship between language resources and their understanding of various contextual meanings to understand, which is crucial for academic literacy. There has been an extraordinary trend

in the field of language pedagogy to translate the the application of linguistics theory to the analysis of school language (e.g.,

Christie, 2002; Halliday, 2005a, 2005b; Hoang, 2019; Martin, 1989, 1991, 2005a, 2005b; Moss, 2000; Rubino, 1989; Schleppegrell,2008; Taylor, 1979; Williams, 1985; Unsworth, 2000; and Wignell, Martin, and Eggins, 2005). However, few studies have evaluated the interpersonal meaning (tenor) of spoken texts in international ELT textbooks. To fill this gap, this article examines the grammatical features and interpersonal meanings realized in the mood and modality resources of an Interchange Series textbook — the fourth edition of the textbook used for level 3 or intermediate students.

Therefore, the present study addresses the following questions 1) What types of social roles and social status are presented in the spoken texts of textbooks? (2) What types of social distance are presented in the spoken texts of the textbooks? (3) What kinds of speaker personas are depicted in the spoken texts of t textbooks? LITERATURE REVIEW Systemic Functional Linguistics (SFL) by Halliday (1994) is a powerful language acquisition theory that could be applied to all three categories of ELT textbook evaluation. Using SFL-based resources has been shown to help ESL students improve their academic literacy (see Rose et al., 2012).

SFL asserts that language is shaped by two layers of context: the context of situation and the context of culture (Halliday, 1994; Rose & Martin, 2012). The context of a situation includes three variables: field, tenor, and mode (Halliday, 1994). Semantically, these three variables define the register of a discourse. Based on the three variables, language users express three meta-meanings: ideational meaning (represents inner and outer experience), interpersonal meaning (performs social interactions), and textual meaning (creates a discourse texture) (Halliday, 1994).

To generate the three meta-meanings, SFL describes how vocabulary and Elih Sutisna Yanto*1, Hikmah Pravitasari*2 THE REALIZATION OF INTERPERSONAL MEANING OF SPOKEN TEXTS IN AN EFL INTERNATIONAL TEXTBOOK: A SYSTEMIC FUNCTIONAL LINGUISTICS PERSPECTIVE 78 grammar based on transitivity, mood (e.g., choice of subject-verb-object order), theme/rheme, and cohesion (e.g., choice of conjunction words) to be chosen The notion of 'interpersonal' meaning refers to how language is used to facilitate "social interaction, form and maintain relationships, develop and project personal identity, express opinions and engage with the views of others." Derewianka (2011, p. 109). Furthermore, Halliday (1975, p.

21) contends that the interpersonal metafunction refers to "the idea that language can be used as a means of conveying information" and that "the fundamental nature of any

communication process is that of dialogue" (Halliday 1975, p. 31). Thus, the interpersonal resources of language refer to "the area of the language in which choices are made that assign communication roles to the performer (whether speaker or writer) and to the addressee (whether listener or reader)" (Fawcett 2017, p. 1). Against this background, the interpersonal resources (both lexicogrammatical and semantic resources) reflect and construe an intersubjective aspect of semiosis. For Weninger (2020), the interpersonal (i.e.,

interactive and personal) metafunction in a text effects social relations and affects others. In other words, language is inherently communicative. It is designed to attract the attention of others. Interpersonal Meaning from the Systemic Functional Linguistics Perspective To guide the discussion, we will draw on theoretical ideas about interpersonal meaning treated in Systemic Functional Linguistics. Halliday and Matthiessen (2014, p. 134) argue that the clause is "organized as an interactive event." As an interactive event, the clause contributes to the development of an exchange of meaning between a speaker (or writer) and a listener (or reader).

The notiof chan al theSL ion's riptioof interpersonal metafunction, and, as a broad, non-technical term, it includes a description of how the semantic system of speech functions is realized in the lexicogrammar (wordings) by different clause types. Furthermore, Derewianka, B. (2007, p. 850) claims that interpersonal meaning deals with grammatical resources for interacting and the development of subjectivity (e.g., different types of speech function: statements, questions, commands; the assessment of probability, obligation, and commitment; the ways we address each other and so on.

Gerot & Wignell (1995) note th-thes e interpersonal meanings are realized in the lexicogrammar through selection from the system of Mood, the clause as exchange (p.22)? Wiralodra English Journal (WEJ) Vol 7 No 1 March 2023 79 With this in mind, the elements of statement, question, offer, and command are to be regarded as dialogue-initiating speech functions to which there is a range of possible and typical responses. The four basic speech functions and their respective sets of responses are shown in Table 2 (Halliday and Matthiessen 2014, pp.136 – 137).

The Speech Functions and Mood Types Andersen (2017) explains that "the declarative clause and the interrogative clause are types of indicative clauses, meaning that they are structured around the mood element, which in English consists of subject and finite." "They are structurally distinct from one another, since the declarative is characterized by the word order subject before finite, w hilthe yes - nintgatiis arateriz by the word order finite before subject" (p. 118). The WH-interrogative has the order of subject before finite when the WH element is the subject, and finite before subject otherwise (Halliday

and Matthiessen 2014, p. 143).

The imperative differs from the indicative in that it does not necessarily involve a mood element — that is, a subject and a finite (this applies to the unmarked positive imperative, such as look). However, there are forms of imperatives with a mood element, as you (Hally Matthi2014, 165), the imperative is considered a mood type. SFL and School Textbooks Research In recent decades, language pedagogy has made significant advances in applying linguistic theory to the analysis of school language (Hoang, 2019).

Numerous empirical studies on the language of school textbooks in English and other languages have been conducted using SFL as a theoretical framework, for example, Moss (2000), Unsworth (2000), Christie (2002), Martin (1989, 1991, 2005a, 2005b), Halliday (2005a, 2005b), Wignell, Martin, and Eggins (2005), and Schleppegrell (2005). (2008). Reviewing the literature, it becomes clear that research evaluating international ELT textbooks that focus on the interpersonal meaning (tenor) of spoken texts remains relatively sparce.

Few studies have examined the development of English textbooks from a systemic functional linguistic perspective. Martin (2005a) compared the properties of four different texts from junior and secondary school textbooks. At the register level, Martin (2005a) noted that science textbooks are concerned with the construction of taxonomies, while history textbooks are concerned with the construction of texts (i.e., the texture of scientific discourse is oriented to field while that of history is focused on mode).

Xuan and Huang (2017) conducted a longitudinal study of the modality system as a resource for interpersonal meaning-making and examined the writing of adolescent Chinese ESL students in terms of their partial mastery and utilization of the resources. Using the results and discussion, Xuan and Huang distinguished the linguistic features in their students' written texts according to the modality system, to provide a complete view of their use of modal expressions in Elih Sutisna Yanto[1], Hikmah Pravitasari[2] THE REALIZATION OF INTERPERSONAL MEANING OF SPOKEN TEXTS IN AN EFL INTERNATIONAL TEXTBOOK: A SYSTEMIC FUNCTIONAL LINGUISTICS PERSPECTIVE 80 their writing and provide insight into the design of the secondary English curriculum and writing classes in mainland China. They discovered that as part of a typical Asian culture, students used multiple modal expressions of obligation to communicate their thoughts and opinions within the modality system.

In addition, To (2018), in a book series, examines how the language of textbooks implemented in the teaching of English as a foreign language (EFL) in the Vietnamese

context has changed across levels. The results suggest that the mean scores of nominalizations and grammatical metaphor across textbook texts increased with increasing levels of complexity. Hoang (2019) examined the transitivity characteristics of seven texts (constructed in the form of lessons units) in middle school science. This earlier research provided useful insights into the nature of the language of school textbooks.

However, further research may examine the characteristics of language in science textbooks, particularly in languages other than English. While the function of textbooks in language teaching is crucial, to the best of the resears' knowledge, the New Interchange Series has not been studied academically, at least in the Indonesian context, and little research attention has been paid to the interpersonal meanings realized in mood and modality resources in the spoken texts of textbooks.

This study analyzes the interpersonal meaning of the mood and modality resources in the fourth edition of an interchange series textbook used by Grade 3 or intermediate students. METHOD OF STUDY Data This research examined Jack C. Richards and Jonathan Hull's (2013) Interchange Series Level 3 (intermediate) textbook. The textbook analysis consisted of four steps. The first phase consisted of identifying and classifying all the lexicogrammar of interpersonal speech functions found in these textbooks.

Systemic Functional Linguistics (SFL) shows that lexicogrammar consists of social roles and status, social distance, and speaker persona. In the second phase , textbook examples of spoken text types were categorized. It had sixteen spoken texts. In the third step, all instances of interpersonal language were classified according to types of moods, types of modalities in the textbooks, classified speech functions of the analyzed sample text, and a summary of the social relations, social connectedness, and speaker persona of the analyzed sample text.

The final step of data analysis involves quantitative and qualitative analysis of the 16 texts and example texts from the textbooks, particularly those found in spoken text types, to highlight the different types of interpersonal lexicogrammar contained in the textbooks. The first author performed Steps 1 to 3. Both the second and first authors performed the fourth step. Two authors then performed multiple rounds of double-checking the coding and analysis results to ensure they agree on the data analysis. The research was limited to conversation texts in order to examine the interpersonal meaning of the spoken texts in the selected textbooks. Regarding spoken mode, research emphasizes social roles and social position, social distance, and speaker identity.

Based on these criteria, the authors of this study examine the manifestation of interpersonal meaning the spoken texts of each unit, extracted from a textbook. The New Interchange Series was chosen for this study primarily because it provides comprehensive input to address the critical 81 communicative and linguistic needs of language learners. Therefore, while the subject covered in these books may be interesting, both fluency and accuracy are emphasized.

In addition to clear instructions and a range of themes, the books provide a curriculum that combines concepts, structure, function, vocabulary and pronunciation. Analysis Frameworks and Procedures The analysis employed a systemic functional linguistics perspective and utilized the elements of mood as central resources for the realization of interpersonal meaning. The approach was to segment the interactive discourse into individual messages and then use semantic networks to describe the selection of features for each message.

To illustrate this, due to space limitations, the authors randomly chose Text 1 as the analysis sample. Message boundaries are indicated by numbers in parentheses. FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION In order to obtain basic information for further analysis and discussion, the texts were analyzed for the number of types of clauses, moods and polarities (see tables 3, 4 and 5). Table 4 (Types of Mood) shows that of the sixteen spoken texts in the textbook, the highest frequency of mood occurs in declarative clauses (146 or 53.09%), and interrogative clauses (64 or 23.27%), but in none of them are used in the texts.

Elih Sutisna Yanto*1, Hikmah Pravitasari*2 THE REALIZATION OF INTERPERSONAL MEANING OF SPOKEN TEXTS IN AN EFL INTERNATIONAL TEXTBOOK: A SYSTEMIC FUNCTIONAL LINGUISTICS PERSPECTIVE 82 Against this background, the book authors choose two speech functions as resources for interaction, i.e., statement or declarative mood (providing information) and question or interrogative mood (asking for information) (see Figure 1 and Table 6). However, the book authors in conversation do not include the imperative clauses that function as instruction, invitation, suggestion, and advice (Derewianka, 2011).

In addition, Table 5 (Types of Modality) shows that the number of modalizations — the use of modalities to argue about the probability or frequency of propositions or an information clause — realized as indicative (Eggins, 2004) are probability (26 or 9.45%), usuality (10 or 3.64%), or obligation (9 or 3.27%). In this case, the authors of the book mainly include epistemic modality (modalization) which concerns the speaker's appraisal of the validity of what he says as logical meaning, such as certainty, logical necessity,

probability, and possibility (Coffin, Donohue, & North, 2013). In addition, the book authors also provide the deontic modality (modulation) that c oncerns speak assessment of the desirability of an event or situation, e.g.,

obligation, desirability, inclination, and permission (Coffin, Donohue, & North., 2013). Eggins (2004) asserts that modulation refers to the use of modality to argue about the obligation or inclination of proposals, goods, and service clauses that are recognized as imperative. Wiralodra English Journal (WEJ) Vol 7 No 1 March 2023 83 Figure 1.

Thriends are Lovmarriarth Ameri Elih Sutisna Yanto*1, Hikmah Pravitasari*2 THE REALIZATION OF INTERPERSONAL MEANING OF SPOKEN TEXTS IN AN EFL INTERNATIONAL TEXTBOOK: A SYSTEMIC FUNCTIONAL LINGUISTICS PERSPECTIVE 84 Clauses (1), (3), (5), (9), and (13) use the speech function of "question" to exchange information between Chris and Kim. Chris and Kim's questions use interrogative clauses (either "yes" or "no"), polar questions (messages (1), (3) and (13)) or WH-questions (message 5). Chris started the conversation by inquiring about Kim's wedding date. Chris and Kim are good friends. It can be seen by looking at Kim's casual response to Chris about her condition. Without hesitation, Kim explains that has companion her s wedding.

This answer would be different if you are not a close friend as this information sharing is quite private. According to Poyton (1984), contact between Kim and Chris, contact is likely to be very high, affective involvement is strong, and they have equal power. Kim also asks Chris to confirm if he has a partner for her. K imrest guide the conversation and encourage Chris to find someone who would be a good match for Kim as a wedding attendant.

The use of "I" in subject position shows that the producers of the texts (Chris and Kim) are personally reconstructing the speech event, i.e., they are exgintheir s Kim's for their friend's wedding reception. Clauses (4), (8), (9), and (12) are punctuative, minor clauses such as "hmm"O"uh,and Br y ano cator rt the clause). Punctuative clauses are typically formulaic greetings, hesitations, addresses, and reactive expressions (Williams, 2019; p. 502). Messages 4 and (9) refleCthiof mate in response to questiOnlKim uses negative polarity messages (2), (6), and (14)] three times in this conversation to explain that she has no companion, no criteria for her companion, and has never heard of Bob Branson.

Kim uses the medium modality [Message (10), "Well I would prefer someone..."] and the low y = I can talk to you easily"] to assess to judge her choice of wedding attendant. These modalities used by Kim are mostly the willingness subtype of the epistemic modality representing Kim's desires for a wedding attendant . e alies cernthe eaker

assessment of the validity of what they are saying" (Coffin, C., Donohue, J., & North, S. 2013, p. 169) or this focuses on the speaker ' s meaningfulness. 85 Meanwhile, Chris also uses the medium modality M essa OK, I will ask him.... ']and the low modality [= you can tell .

']as possiitto eet b Branson and to learn about Kim's feeliards This spoken text mostly uses the simple present tense to describe facts, generalizations, and explanations. Both Chris and Kim contribute a similar number of declarative clauses in exchange, in which they make statements. This suggests that there is no significant status difference. They also use the same modality to show equal authority. This type of spoken text is usually exchanged between close friends in our daily lives.

In other words, this conversational example "illustrates many of the characteristics of an informal tenor, as well as the social roles and relationships played by interactants" (Eggins, 2004, p. 143). Conclusion and pedagogical Implications The results of this study provide firstly more insights into the learning and teaching of interpersonal meanings at the college level for English learners in Indonesia and in the broader Asian ESL/EFL context, and secondly, into the design of related teaching resources, particularly in contexts where English is used as a lingua franca.

The results also show that the spoken texts in this textbook contain interpersonal meaning in the form of interaction patterns that vary depending on the nature of the relationships between the interactants, the speaker, and the addressee. As a result, this finding describes the meaning exchange potential of the English clause. With this in mind, the exchange meanings of face- to-face in spoken interactions require the grammar of the interaction, such as using of interrogative clauses to ask questions, using of declarative clauses to make statements, and using imperatives to give instructions. This empirical evidence suggests that language textbook designers could include a variety of examples of interpersonal meaning in forthcoming editions of the book.

The implication of this study is that knowledge of the interpersonal grammar of exchange which deals with the semantics of speech functions and modalities, could be communicated explicitly and systematically by language teachers to students. Both teachers and students should have the opportunity to engage critically with, for example, textbooks as a socio-semiotic agent, for instance, and how socially connected or distant interlocutors are likely to influence the degree to which the language used is formal or informal, including terminology as "an important resource for construction and maintaining relationships in terms of power, status, familiarity or intimacy, and feelings" (Derewianka, 2011, p. 118).

Elih Sutisna Yanto*1, Hikmah Pravitasari*2 THE REALIZATION OF INTERPERSONAL MEANING OF SPOKEN TEXTS IN AN EFL INTERNATIONAL TEXTBOOK: A SYSTEMIC FUNCTIONAL LINGUISTICS PERSPECTIVE 86 REFERENCES Andersen, T. H. (2017). Interpersonal meaning and the clause. In Routledge Handbook of Systemic Functional Linguistics (pp. 115-130). Routledge. Christie, F. (2002). Classroom discourse analysis: A functional perspective. London: Continuum. Coffin, C., Donohue, J., & North, S. (2013). Exploring English grammar: From formal to functional. Routledge. Cunningsworth, A. (1995). Choosing your course book. Heinemann. Derewianka, B.(2011). A new grammar companion for teachers. Sydney: Primary English Teaching Association Derewianka, B. (2007).

Changing approaches to the conceptualization and teaching of grammar In International handbook of English language teaching (pp. 843-858). Springer,Boston, MA. Eggins, S. (2004). Introduction to systemic functional linguistics. (2nd edition). (p.172). Printer Publisher, Ltd Ellis, R. (1997). The empirical evaluation of language teaching materials. ELT Journal, 51(1), 36-42. Fawcett, R. P. (2017). From meaning to form in the Cardiff Model of language and its use. In The Routledge Handbook of Systemic Functional Linguistics (pp. 80-100). Routledge. Halliday, M. A. K., & Matthiessen, C. M. I. M. (2014). Halliintctito functional grammar (4th ed.). Routledge. Halliday, M. A. K. (1973).

Explorations in the functions of language. Edward Arnold. Halliday, M.A.K. (1975). Learning How to Mean: Explorations in the Development of Language. Arnold Halliday, M. A. K. (1978). Language as social semiotic: The social interpretation of language and meaning. Edward Arnold. Halliday, M. A. K. (2005a). On the language of physical science. In M. A. K. Halliday & J. R. Martin (Eds.), Writing science: Literacy and discursive power (pp. 59 – 75). The Falmer Press. Halliday, M. A. K. (2005b). Some grammatical problems in scientific English. In M. A. K. Halliday & J. R. Martin (Eds.), Writing science: Literacy and discursive power (pp.

76 – 94). The Falmer Press. Halliday, M.A.K., and C.M.I.M. Matthiessen. (2014). An Introduction to Functional Grammar. 4th edn. Routledge. Hoang, V. V. (2019). An Interpersonal Analysis of a Vietnamese Middle School Science Textbook. The M.A.K. Halliday Library Functional Linguistics Series, 129 – 144.vdoi:10.1007/978-981-13-9883-4_7 Hutchinson, T., & Torres, E. (1994). The Textbook as agent of change. ELT Journal, 48(4), 315-28.Khalim, A., & Warsono, W. (2017). The Realization Of Interpersonal Meanings Of Conversation Texts In Developing English Competencies And Interlanguage For Grade Wiralodra English Journal (WEJ) Vol 7 No 1 March 2023 87 X. English Education Journal, 7(2), 122-132. Linda, G., & Peter, W.

(1995). Making sense of functional grammar. Antepodean Educational Enterprises. Martin, J. R. (1989). Technicality and abstraction: Language for the creation of specialized texts. In F. Christie (Ed.), Writing in schools (pp. 36 – 44). Deakin University Press. Martin, J. R. (1991). Nominalization in science and humanities: Distilling knowledge and scaffolding text. In E. Ventola (Ed.), Functional and systemic linguistics (pp. 307 – 337). Mouton de Gruyter. Martin, J. R. (2005a). Life as a noun: Arresting the universe in science and humanities. In M. A. K. Halliday & J. R. Martin (Eds.), Writing science: Literacy and discursive power (pp.242 – 292). London & The Falmer Press. Martin, J. R. (2005b).

Literacy in science: Learning to handle text as technology. In M. A. K.Halliday & J. R. Martin (Eds.), Writing science: Literacy and discursive power (pp.184 – 222). The Falmer Press. Moss, G. M. (2000). The language of school textbooks and the ideology of science. Zona Próxima. No1, 44 – 55. Poyton, C. (1984). Names as vocatives: forms and functions. Nottingham Linguistics Circular 13(Special Issue on Systemic Linguistics), 1-34. Richards, J. C. (2001). Curriculum development in language teaching. Cambridge University Press. Richards, J. C. (2001). Curriculum development in language teaching. Cambridge University Press. Rubino, A. (1989). The discourse of history: A cross cultural perspective.

In Genre and systemic functional studies. Australian Review of Applied Linguistics (Series S, Vol. 6, pp. 70 – 108). Schleppegrell, M. J. (2008). The language of schooling: A functional linguistics perspective. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Publishers. Taylor, C. (1979). The English of high school textbooks (Education Research and Development Committee, Report 18). Canberra, Australian Government Publishing Service. Thompson, G., Bowcher, W. L., Fontaine, L., & Schönthal, D. (Eds.). (2019). The Cambridge handbook of Systemic functional linguistics. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press Tomlinson, B. (2003). Developing materials for language teaching. Continuum To, V. (2018).

Linguistic complexity analysis: A case study of commonly-used textbooks in Vietnam. Sage Open, 8(3), (pp.1-13). doi.org/10.1177/2158244018787586 Unsworth, L. (2000). Investigating subject-specific literacies in school learning. In Elih Sutisna Yanto*1, Hikmah Pravitasari*2 THE REALIZATION OF INTERPERSONAL MEANING OF SPOKEN TEXTS IN AN EFL INTERNATIONAL TEXTBOOK: A SYSTEMIC FUNCTIONAL LINGUISTICS PERSPECTIVE 88 L. Unsworth (Ed.), Researching language in schools and communities: Functional linguistic perspectives (pp. 245 – 274). Cassell. Weninger, C. (2018). Textbook analysis. In Chapelle, C.A. (Ed.), The Encylopedia of Applied Linguistics. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley & Sons. DOI: 10.1002/9781405198431.wbeal1489 Weninger, C. (2021). Multimodality in critical language textbook analysis. Language, Culture and Curriculum, 34(2), 133-146. DOI: 10.1080/07908318.2020.1797083 Wignell, P., Martin, J. R., & Eggins, S. (2005).

The discourse of geography: Ordering and explaining the experiential world. In M. A. K. Halliday & J. R. Martin (Eds.), Writing science: Literacy and discursive power (pp. 151 – 183). The Falmer Press. Williams, T. (1985). The functions of language. In B. Hodge (Ed.), Communication and the teacher (pp. 101 – 120). Melbourne: Longman Cheshire. Xuan, W., & Huang, X. (2017). Understanding Interpersonal Meaning-Making in Chinese High School SESL riting:A ystemiFuncti ersp ective. The Asia- Pacific Education Researcher, 26(5), 227 – 238. doi:10.1007/s40299-017-0343-4 Zhang, X. (2017). A critical review of literature on English language teaching textbook evaluation: What systemic functional linguistics can offer.

Journal of Language and Cultural Education, 5(1), 78 – 102. doi:10.1515/jolace-2017-0005 Bio statement Elih Sutisna Yanto is a reviewer for the Journal of Applied Research in Higher Education, published by Emerald, Cogent Arts & Humanities, Cogent Education, published by Taylor & Francis, and The Journal of Asia TEFL. Elih is a board member of the Exploratory Practice Indonesian Community (EPiC) and a faculty member of teacher training and education at Universitas Singaperbangsa Karawang, Indonesia.

His research interests are language teacher professional development, Systemic Functional Linguistics in language education, and qualitative research in ELT. Correspondence regarding this article can be addressed directly to Elih Sutisna at elih.sutisna@fkip.unsika.ac.id. Hikmah Pravitasari received her Master of Education at Universitas Muhammadiyah Surakarta in 2017. She is a full-time English teacher at STKIP La Tansa Mashiro Rangkasbitung, Lebak Banten, Indonesia. Her professional concerns are instructional design material evaluation and development, digital literacy, and discourse analysis. She has also participated in several national and international ELT, linguistics, and literature conferences.

INTERNET SOURCES:

1% -
https://www.bing.com/ck/a?!&&p=887c7e8487419a7abc599e02d38cdd79f264b764c1c3
afbdff735ba1bc61fcabJmltdHM9MTc1MDQ2NDAwMA&ptn=3&ver=2&hsh=4&fclid=1
d9cc45d-32fb-6d22-338f-d42133c36cbf&u=a1aHR0cHM6Ly93ZWoudW53aXuYWMua
WQvaW5kZXgucGhwL3dlai9pc3N1ZS92aWV3LzEy&ntb=1
1% -
https://www.bing.com/ck/a?!&&p=8146d43d9b40021f330f47e2c75c4d4adf70c066cde2f

<1% -
https://www.bing.com/ck/a?!&&p=a4c2cf6517adfd959501a0b8646ec195053ae6fc11a96
030fc6495ea00defac2JmltdHM9MTc1MDQ2NDAwMA&ptn=3&ver=2&hsh=4&fclid=1d
9cc45d-32fb-6d22-338f-d42133c36cbf&u=a1aHR0cHM6Ly9ib29rcy5nb29nbGUuY29tL2J
vb2tzL2Fib3V0L05ld19JbnRlcmNoYW5nZV9TdHVkZW50X3NfQm9va18xQS5odG1sP2lkP
XVJNnZXUUZmeGZnQw&ntb=1
<1% -
https://www.bing.com/ck/a?!&&p=1ac90f10672e68c8e06bd2267f53620aab12db8c1b1f
adaf1a6084619c35d4dfJmltdHM9MTc1MDQ2NDAwMA&ptn=3&ver=2&hsh=4&fclid=1
d9cc45d-32fb-6d22-338f-d42133c36cbf&u=a1aHR0cDovL2VwcmludHMudW1nLmFjLml
kLzMzNzgvMy9DSEFQVEVSJTIwMi5wZGY&ntb=1
<1% -
https://www.bing.com/ck/a?!&&p=9e2382cd4c6f2cd3adfd241877068a2c187908deefabf
7be0e5b20c3acc0a5d9JmltdHM9MTc1MDQ2NDAwMA&ptn=3&ver=2&hsh=4&fclid=1
d9cc45d-32fb-6d22-338f-d42133c36cbf&u=a1aHR0cHM6Ly93d3cuYWNhZGVtaWEuZW
R1L2ZpZ3VyZXMvMzE3ODM2MDcvdGFibGUtNS1pbi1hZGRpdGlvbi10eBlcy1vZi1tb2Rh
bGl0eS1zaG93cy10aGF0LXRoZS1udW1iZXI&ntb=1
1% -
https://www.bing.com/ck/a?!&&p=6f9dd345d6a6806e799005e990898e35dc3f3eff4f519
4eeacc62441ec8fb18eJmltdHM9MTc1MDQ2NDAwMA&ptn=3&ver=2&hsh=4&fclid=1d
9cc45d-32fb-6d22-338f-d42133c36cbf&u=a1aHR0cHM6Ly93d3cucmVzZWFyY2hnYXRlL
m5ldC9wdWJsaWNhdGlvbi8zODg1OTE2MzlfUGVuZ2VtYmFuZ2FuX0J1a3VfVGVrc19QZ
W1iZWxhamFyYW5fQmFoYXNhX0luZG9uZXNpYV91bnR1a19NYWhhc2lzd2FfS2V0ZWtu
aWthbl9kZW5nYW5fUGVuZGVrYXRhbl9TeXN0ZW1pY19GdW5jdGlvbmFsX0xpbmd1aXN
0aWNz&ntb=1
<1% -
https://www.bing.com/ck/a?!&&p=c7934a7ce05e630ac50aff9c36eea6d241f7758aed7d3
12c94a65f67fc02a86eJmltdHM9MTc1MDQ2NDAwMA&ptn=3&ver=2&hsh=4&fclid=1d
9cc45d-32fb-6d22-338f-d42133c36cbf&u=a1aHR0cHM6Ly93d3cuc2NpZW5jZWRpcmVj
dC5jb20vc2NpZW5jZS9hcnRpY2xlL3BpaS9TMDAwNjQ1NzMxMDAwMDIwOA&ntb=1
<1% -
https://www.bing.com/ck/a?!&&p=18d182b846cb0875fa420ad01606f593292710ba0854
12eab18f4d6e96c51d23JmltdHM9MTc1MDQ2NDAwMA&ptn=3&ver=2&hsh=4&fclid=
1d9cc45d-32fb-6d22-338f-d42133c36cbf&u=a1aHR0cHM6Ly9zY2hvbGFyLmdvb2dsZS5j
b20vY2l0YXRpb25zP3VzZXI9eDZSb0lQa0FBQUFKmhsPWlk&ntb=1
<1% -
https://www.bing.com/ck/a?!&&p=8c320291ddb8be5f195a70865db5c5bff80f3c2f5629b
ea0c2f711a3718070f7JmltdHM9MTc1MDQ2NDAwMA&ptn=3&ver=2&hsh=4&fclid=1d
9cc45d-32fb-6d22-338f-d42133c36cbf&u=a1aHR0cHM6Ly93d3cuZ3JhbW1hcmx5LmNv
bS9ibG9nL2dyYW1tYXIvc2ltcGxlLXByZXNlbnQvP21zb2NraWQ9MWQ5Y2M0NWQzMmZi

NmQyMjMzOGZkNDIxMzNjMzZjYmY&ntb=1

<1% -
https://www.bing.com/ck/a?!&&p=50801379ab540e8318da28a79a0e303e6d9c9800afeb
97ed3d76d0f1ba3d3f8aJmltdHM9MTc1MDQ2NDAwMA&ptn=3&ver=2&hsh=4&fclid=
1d9cc45d-32fb-6d22-338f-d42133c36cbf&u=a1aHR0cHM6Ly9ldmVyeWRheXNwZWVja
C5jb20vc2VsLWltcGxlbWVudGF0aW9uL3VucGFja2luZy1ldmVyeWRheS1jb21tdW5pY2F0
aW9uLWhvdy1sYW5ndWFnZS1zaGFwZXMtb3VyLWRhaWx5LWludGVyYWN0aW9ucy8&
ntb=1

<1% -
https://www.bing.com/ck/a?!&&p=aa48f2576e8be1773c28820e374059d5d25cbd39c009
a3da01af7b3e8571bb49JmltdHM9MTc1MDQ2NDAwMA&ptn=3&ver=2&hsh=4&fclid=
1d9cc45d-32fb-6d22-338f-d42133c36cbf&u=a1aHR0cHM6Ly8xbGlcmFyeS5uZXQvYXJ0
aWNsZS9wZWRhZ29naWNhbC1pbXBsaWNhdGlvbnMtaW1wbGljYXRpb24tYW5kLWNvb
mNsdXNpb24uejFnZTFldno&ntb=1

<1% -
https://www.bing.com/ck/a?!&&p=8b4e984b849c95cd45d21059d34645a4b4eb5fa88df
7a064eef511c312fcdd8cJmltdHM9MTc1MDQ2NDAwMA&ptn=3&ver=2&hsh=4&fclid=
1d9cc45d-32fb-6d22-338f-d42133c36cbf&u=a1aHR0cHM6Ly9maWxlcy5lcmljLmVkLmd
vdi9mdWxsdGV4dC9FSjE0MzU1MDEucGRm&ntb=1

<1% -
https://www.bing.com/ck/a?!&&p=544dc6488222dd7df6e1b1ddb171eefaee13a31f7a2f
86ea7e812f0c077193ecJmltdHM9MTc1MDQ2NDAwMA&ptn=3&ver=2&hsh=4&fclid=1
d9cc45d-32fb-6d22-338f-d42133c36cbf&u=a1aHR0cHM6Ly9yZXBvc2l0b3J5LnVuYWph
LmFjLmlkL0Rvd25sb2FkX1BERlMvYm9vay1zZWFyY2gvNDAxMDAzNy9FeHBsb3JpbmdFb
mdsaXNoR3JhbW1hckZyb21Gb3JtYWxUb0Z1bmN0aW9uYWwucGRm&ntb=1

<1% -
https://www.bing.com/ck/a?!&&p=1fde6a8b7ce74fde34683dba0ae3a0c4964b3b2c7e34
d2a8c4a4f1ae968663d0JmltdHM9MTc1MDQ2NDAwMA&ptn=3&ver=2&hsh=4&fclid=
1d9cc45d-32fb-6d22-338f-d42133c36cbf&u=a1aHR0cHM6Ly93d3uc2NpcnAub3JnL3Jl
ZmVyZW5jZS9yZWZlcmVuY2VzcGFwZXJzP3JlZmVyZW5jZWlkPTM4MzUzNTk&ntb=1

<1% -
https://www.bing.com/ck/a?!&&p=c39ae31c1b565da7de492210f22067207754afd72c80
25e9e94bf0d0e9d778a1JmltdHM9MTc1MDQ2NDAwMA&ptn=3&ver=2&hsh=4&fclid=
1d9cc45d-32fb-6d22-338f-d42133c36cbf&u=a1aHR0cHM6Ly93d3uc2NpLWh1Yi5zZS8
xMC4xMDkzL2VsdC81MS4xLjM2&ntb=1

<1% -
https://www.bing.com/ck/a?!&&p=89551e2754598556680f8c4df38e9f3b1ce72e851922c
021ab54aec0ac5fa589JmltdHM9MTc1MDQ2NDAwMA&ptn=3&ver=2&hsh=4&fclid=1d
9cc45d-32fb-6d22-338f-d42133c36cbf&u=a1aHR0cHM6Ly93d3cucmVzZWFyY2hnYXRlL
m5ldC9wcm9maWxlL0Vkd2FyZC1NY2RvbmFsZC9wdWJsaWNhdGlvbi8zNDg5OTQ3NTRf

VGhlX1JvdXRsZWRnZV9IYW5kYm9va19vZl9TeXN0ZW1pY19GdW5jdGlvbmFsX0xpbmd1
aXN0aWNzL2xpbmtzLzYwMWE2NDkzYTZmZGNjMzdhOGZjM2MwNS9UaGUtUm91dGxl
ZGdlLUhhbmRib29rLW9mLVN5c3RlbWljLUZ1bmN0aW9uYWwtTGluZ3Vpc3RpY3MucGR
mP29yaWdpbj1wdWJsaWNhdGlvbl9kZXRhaWw&ntb=1
<1% -
https://www.bing.com/ck/a?!&&p=eb284811795150aa8e20168c5f7edc47a78c750eb8a6
0b2e6b15dc7d6f2d8234JmltdHM9MTc1MDQ2NDAwMA&ptn=3&ver=2&hsh=4&fclid=
1d9cc45d-32fb-6d22-338f-d42133c36cbf&u=a1aHR0cHM6Ly9hcmNoaXZlLm9yZy9kZX
RhaWxzL2xlYXJuaW5naG93dG9tZWwwMDAwaGFsbA&ntb=1
<1% -
https://www.bing.com/ck/a?!&&p=1cee7310f36a2cce60a18bf10afc85c76ca65ee2e1ef12
af8e8ee564ef0327f8JmltdHM9MTc1MDQ2NDAwMA&ptn=3&ver=2&hsh=4&fclid=1d9
cc45d-32fb-6d22-338f-d42133c36cbf&u=a1aHR0cHM6Ly93d3cuc2NpnAub3JnL3JlZm
VyZW5jZS9yZWZlcmVuY2VzcGFwZXJzP3JlZmVyZW5jZWlkPTgyMTk3Ng&ntb=1
<1% -
https://www.bing.com/ck/a?!&&p=5a3312d90013c8d1dc740ec711c0e090e7fbef2683b1
7a577a0d439a255eaf17JmltdHM9MTc1MDQ2NDAwMA&ptn=3&ver=2&hsh=4&fclid=
1d9cc45d-32fb-6d22-338f-d42133c36cbf&u=a1aHR0cHM6Ly9hcmNoaXZlLm9yZy9kZX
RhaWxzL3dyaXRpbmdzY2llbmNlbGkwMDAwaGFsbA&ntb=1
<1% -
https://www.bing.com/ck/a?!&&p=0f04598ad387f3bc4762c5d06cced55d4a9de76444b6
19d61cb0517ee2cbc826JmltdHM9MTc1MDQ2NDAwMA&ptn=3&ver=2&hsh=4&fclid=
1d9cc45d-32fb-6d22-338f-d42133c36cbf&u=a1aHR0cHM6Ly9hcmNoaXZlLm9yZy9kZX
RhaWxzL2ludHJvZHVjdGlvbnRvZnUwMDAwaGFsbA&ntb=1
<1% -
https://www.bing.com/ck/a?!&&p=6b57c516d0bdcbaeb44392f5127a097a4a4a69af8c08
a5e308de362c4de5af7aJmltdHM9MTc1MDQ2NDAwMA&ptn=3&ver=2&hsh=4&fclid=1
d9cc45d-32fb-6d22-338f-d42133c36cbf&u=a1aHR0cHM6Ly93d3cub3ZlcmRyaXZlLmNv
bS9zZXJpZXMvdGhlLW0tYS1rLWhhbGxpZGF5LWxpYnJhcnktZnVuY3Rpb25hbC1saW5nd
WlzdGljcy1zZXJpZXM&ntb=1
<1% -
https://www.bing.com/ck/a?!&&p=c6c75b5ebb0a499abba859ec3c1e76c02efcd3c7e54d
7c97506e7efe0e06db64JmltdHM9MTc1MDQ2NDAwMA&ptn=3&ver=2&hsh=4&fclid=
1d9cc45d-32fb-6d22-338f-d42133c36cbf&u=a1aHR0cHM6Ly93d3cuc2VtYW50aWNzY2
hvbGFyLm9yZy9wYXBlci9UaGUtUmVhbGl6YXRpb24tb2YtSW50ZXJwZXJzb25hbC1NZWF
uaW5ncy1vZi1UZXh0cy1LaGFsaW0vNTUxNzU3MDU5NDMxOTkxOGE0YjQzN2QyYTM4N
DVlYjBjNzAxYTFiZQ&ntb=1
<1% -
https://www.bing.com/ck/a?!&&p=3c2db0a717b7b83808a348b933d8958ec38869a6202
8fb558b206a1f7f7d7084JmltdHM9MTc1MDQ2NDAwMA&ptn=3&ver=2&hsh=4&fclid=

1d9cc45d-32fb-6d22-338f-d42133c36cbf&u=a1aHR0cHM6Ly9zY2ktaHViLnJ1LzEwLjExN zcvMjE1ODI0NDAxODc4NzU4Ng&ntb=1

<1% - https://www.bing.com/ck/a?!&&p=f001c901a9784fbcf89a7a32d30f03246b2847b8becd3 b4f8d3f0263bcbb52b3JmltdHM9MTc1MDQ2NDAwMA&ptn=3&ver=2&hsh=4&fclid=1 d9cc45d-32fb-6d22-338f-d42133c36cbf&u=a1aHR0cHM6Ly93d3cucmVzZWFyY2hnYXRl Lm5ldC9wdWJsaWNhdGlvbi8yMzMwNTYzMDhfUmVzZWFyY2hpbmdfTGFuZ3VhZ2VfaW 5fU2Nob29sc19hbmRfQ29tbXVuaXRpZXNfRnVuY3Rpb25hbF9MaW5ndWlzdGljX1BlcnN wZWN0aXZlc19FZGl0ZWRfYnlfTF9VbnN3b3J0aA&ntb=1

<1% - https://www.bing.com/ck/a?!&&p=3e63a06e9fa98924c9d671a486528d0184d2e3926de eb516d42ba33ac79c45a4JmltdHM9MTc1MDQ2NDAwMA&ptn=3&ver=2&hsh=4&fclid =1d9cc45d-32fb-6d22-338f-d42133c36cbf&u=a1aHR0cHM6Ly93d3cuc2NyaWJkLmNvb S9kb2N1bWVudC84NTcxNDAxNTQvTENDLTM0LTItMTMz&ntb=1

<1% - https://www.bing.com/ck/a?!&&p=cdc44d988c1dbf5a06ec246362dfe19214b7f35d0173 855b46aea094d9307a70JmltdHM9MTc1MDQ2NDAwMA&ptn=3&ver=2&hsh=4&fclid= 1d9cc45d-32fb-6d22-338f-d42133c36cbf&u=a1aHR0cDovL3d3dy5pc2ZsYS5vcmcvU3lz dGVtaWNzL0VkdWNhdGlvbi9wdWJsaWNhdGlvbnMuaHRtbA&ntb=1

<1% - https://www.bing.com/ck/a?!&&p=ce38468d68b4c2c97162a3dacc7fa6907ee1bcfa1ff12 aab11d07920aec49210JmltdHM9MTc1MDQ2NDAwMA&ptn=3&ver=2&hsh=4&fclid=1 d9cc45d-32fb-6d22-338f-d42133c36cbf&u=a1aHR0cHM6Ly9vcGVubGlicmFyeS5vcmcvY m9va3MvT0wxNTk3Mzg3OU0vQ29tbXVuaWNhdGlvbl9BbmRfVGhlX1RlYWNoZXI&ntb= 1

<1% - https://www.bing.com/ck/a?!&&p=33112d7f228cfb09b0d31277e43ce7c10c303f956931 494ba831e590bdd8f0dbJmltdHM9MTc1MDQ2NDAwMA&ptn=3&ver=2&hsh=4&fclid= 1d9cc45d-32fb-6d22-338f-d42133c36cbf&u=a1aHR0cHM6Ly93d3cucmVzZWFyY2hnYX RlLm5ldC9qb3VybmFsL1RoZS1Bc2lhLVBhY2lmaWMtRWR1Y2F0aW9uLVJlc2VhcmNoZXIt MDExOS01NjQ2LzE5&ntb=1

<1% - https://www.bing.com/ck/a?!&&p=61880033496259405c19bce8833dd0d4cabafe32a7f1 37995e372d96a159807fJmltdHM9MTc1MDQ2NDAwMA&ptn=3&ver=2&hsh=4&fclid= 1d9cc45d-32fb-6d22-338f-d42133c36cbf&u=a1aHR0cHM6Ly9zY2llbmRvLmNvbS9qb3V ybmFsL0pPTEFDRQ&ntb=1

1% - https://www.bing.com/ck/a?!&&p=8919d91b086b8b70f47b28d7a60e0891933b829a3ee 0427106945e058453087eJmltdHM9MTc1MDQ2NDAwMA&ptn=3&ver=2&hsh=4&fclid =1d9cc45d-32fb-6d22-338f-d42133c36cbf&u=a1aHR0cHM6Ly93d3cuYWNhZGVtaWEu

ZWR1Lzg0Mjg5NDA2L0V4cGxvcmF0b3J5X1ByYWN0aWNlX2FzX1ByYWN0aXRpb25lcl9S
ZXNlYXJjaF9BX1Jldmlld19vZl9FeHBsb3JhdG9yeV9QcmFjdGljZV9mb3JfQ29udGludWluZ1
9Qcm9mZXNzaW9uYWxfRGV2ZWxvcG1lbnRfQW5fSW5ub3ZhdGl2ZV9BcHByb2FjaF9mb
3JfTGFuZ3VhZ2VfVGVhY2hlcnM&ntb=1
<1% -
https://www.bing.com/ck/a?!&&p=a10ef37155f9737b39611dab014865d709a61c13da22
8566ccb52182c75505dfJmltdHM9MTc1MDQ2NDAwMA&ptn=3&ver=2&hsh=4&fclid=1
d9cc45d-32fb-6d22-338f-d42133c36cbf&u=a1aHR0cHM6Ly9uc3V3Jrcy5ub3ZhLmVk
dS90cXIvdm9sMjgvaXNzNy8xOS8&ntb=1
1% -
https://www.bing.com/ck/a?!&&p=69db33f0fdfbbe54d989550080e0340264e4af541abfe
92cb5453b5d67219f6dJmltdHM9MTc1MDQ2NDAwMA&ptn=3&ver=2&hsh=4&fclid=1
d9cc45d-32fb-6d22-338f-d42133c36cbf&u=a1aHR0cHM6Ly93d3cuYWNhZGVtaWEuZW
R1LzQyNjg5NTEyL0FfTkFSUkFUSVZFX0lOUVVJUllfT0ZfU1RVREVOVF9URUFDSEVTU19NV
UxUSU1PREFMX1BSQUNUSUNFX0VYUEVSSUVOQ0VTX0lOX1RIRV9JTkRPTkVTSUFOX0V
TUF9DTEFTU1JPT01fQ09OVEVYVA&ntb=1